

under the 180-day marketing exclusivity provision. Before we change the law, let us have a serious re-examination of whether to retain the 180-day marketing exclusivity in its current form both in terms of the length of the exclusivity period and whether the rewards for successful invalidity and non-infringement challenges should be treated identically.

I urge my colleagues, as well as consumer organizations and pharmaceutical purchasers such as insurers and self-insured businesses to reflect upon what I have said on this subject today.

This is an area in which I think we would be wise to reject Senator SCHUMER's argument that all we are doing with this legislation is restoring the integrity of the old Hatch-Waxman Act. But why should we be governed by the world of 1984 when, for example, the best selling drugs in this country have increased sales by a factor of 10? Why should the value of the marketing exclusivity reward increase in direct proportion?

On a number of occasions, I have commended Senator SCHUMER and Senator MCCAIN for moving their legislation forward, even if the bill that came out of the HELP Committee does not resemble very closely their bill, and I still have problems with the floor vehicle as I have laid out in some detail. I commend them again today.

I hope to return to the floor before this debate ends to offer a few suggestions for a more comprehensive approach to reforming the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act.

This in no way minimizes the importance of the matters that are the subject of the pending legislation, because they are important areas. I do not believe, however, that these are the most important issues we can address.

Rather than focusing on how best to bring the law back to the old days of 1984, as Senator SCHUMER suggests, I want to discuss ways to modify the law to help usher in a new era of drug discovery while, at the same time, increasing patient access to the latest medicines.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that following disposition of H.R. 5121, the legislative branch appropriations bill, Rockefeller amendment No. 4316 be agreed to, and the motion to reconsider be laid on the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that immediately following action on adoption of the Rockefeller amendment, the Senate proceed to the consideration of the conference report to accompany H.R. 3763, the Corporate and Auditing Accountability, Responsibility, and

Transparency Act of 2002, and that it be considered under the following limitations: That there be a time limitation of 2 hours equally divided and controlled between the chair and ranking member of the committee or their designees; that upon the use or yielding back of time, without further intervening action or debate, the Senate proceed to vote on adoption of the conference report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2003—Resumed

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to vote on H.R. 5121, the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays on the legislative branch appropriations bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The question is on agreeing to the conference report. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. NICKLES. I announce that the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. HELMS) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. CARNAHAN). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 85, nays 14, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 191 Leg.]

YEAS — 85

Akaka	Durbin	McConnell
Allen	Edwards	Mikulski
Baucus	Feingold	Miller
Bennett	Feinstein	Murkowski
Biden	Frist	Murray
Bingaman	Graham	Nelson (FL)
Bond	Grassley	Nelson (NE)
Boxer	Gregg	Nickles
Breaux	Hagel	Reed
Burns	Harkin	Reid
Byrd	Hatch	Rockefeller
Campbell	Hollings	Santorum
Cantwell	Hutchinson	Sarbanes
Carnahan	Hutchison	Schumer
Carper	Inouye	Sessions
Chafee	Jeffords	Shelby
Cleland	Johnson	Smith (OR)
Clinton	Kennedy	Snowe
Cochran	Kerry	Specter
Collins	Kohl	Stabenow
Corzine	Kyl	Stevens
Craig	Landrieu	Thompson
Crapo	Leahy	Thurmond
Daschle	Levin	Torricelli
Dayton	Lieberman	Warner
DeWine	Lincoln	Wellstone
Dodd	Lott	Wyden
Domenici	Lugar	
Dorgan	McCain	

NAYS — 14

Allard	Ensign	Roberts
Bayh	Enzi	Smith (NH)
Brownback	Fitzgerald	Thomas
Bunning	Gramm	Voinovich
Conrad	Inhofe	

NOT VOTING—1

Helms

The bill (H.R. 5121) was passed, as follows:

(The bill will be printed in a future edition of the RECORD.)

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. REID. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate insists on its amendments and requests a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses.

The PRESIDING OFFICER appointed Mr. DURBIN, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. REED of Rhode Island, Mr. BYRD, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. COCHRAN conferees on the part of the Senate.

GREATER ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE PHARMACEUTICALS ACT OF 2001—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of S. 812. The Rockefeller amendment No. 4316 is agreed to, and the motion to reconsider that vote is laid on the table.

The amendment (No. 4316) was agreed to.

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002—CONFERENCE REPORT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to the consideration of the conference report to accompany H.R. 3763, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3763), to protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures made pursuant to the securities laws, and for other purposes, having met, have agreed that the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, and the Senate agree to the same, signed by a majority of the conferees on the part of both Houses.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will proceed to the consideration of the conference report.

(The report is printed in the House proceedings of the RECORD of July 24, 2002.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada is recognized.

Mr. REID. Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum and ask that the time not be charged against either manager.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SARBANES. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SARBANES. Madam President, parliamentary inquiry of the Chair: What is pending before the Senate?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The debate on the conference report is limited to 2 hours equally divided.